## COMMUNICATION

## **Cobalt-Catalyzed Addition Reaction of Organoboronic Acids with Aldehydes: Highly Enantioselective Synthesis of Diarylmethanols**

Jaganathan Karthikeyan, Masilamani Jeganmohan, and Chien-Hong Cheng\*<sup>[a]</sup>

Transition-metal-catalyzed addition of organometallic reagents to aldehydes is a key method for the synthesis of substituted secondary alcohols.<sup>[1]</sup> Various organometallic reagents, such as organomagnesium,<sup>[2]</sup> -zinc,<sup>[1,12e-i]</sup> -lithium,<sup>[3]</sup> -silane,<sup>[4]</sup> -stannane<sup>[5]</sup> and -boron,<sup>[6]</sup> have been used in these addition reactions. Among them, organoboron reagents have gained much attention due to the advantages of air and moisture stability, low toxicity, and availability. Rhodium,<sup>[1c,7]</sup> palladium,<sup>[8]</sup> platinum,<sup>[9a]</sup> and nickel<sup>[9b,c]</sup> complexes efficiently catalyzed the addition reaction of organoboronic acids to aldehydes. Recently, copper- and iron-catalyzed addition reaction of organoboronic acid with aldehydes were also reported.<sup>[10]</sup> However, the scope of aldehydes in these two addition reactions is rather limited. Only aromatic aldehydes with an electron-withdrawing substituent worked well.<sup>[10]</sup>

Despite the fact that various metal-catalyzed addition reactions of organoboronic acids with aldehydes are available in the literature,<sup>[6-10]</sup> only a few reports on asymmetric reactions were discussed.<sup>[3a,b,11]</sup> In 2006, Zhou et al. reported a rhodium-catalyzed enantioselective addition reaction of aromatic boronic acids with aromatic aldehydes.<sup>[11a]</sup> In the reaction, enantiomeric excess (*ee*) values of 62–87% for chiral biaryl methanols were observed. Recently, Miyaura and coworkers reported a ruthenium-catalyzed enantioselective addition reaction of aromatic boronic acids with aromatic aldehydes. In the reaction, the expected chiral biarylmethanols were observed in excellent enantiomeric excess.<sup>[11b]</sup> However, in these reactions specially designed chiral ligands and expensive ruthenium or rhodium catalysts were used. Chiral secondary alcohols are key structural units present in vari-

 [a] J. Karthikeyan, Dr. M. Jeganmohan, Prof. Dr. C.-H. Cheng Department of Chemistry, National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu, 30043 (Taiwan)
 Fax: (+886)35724698
 E-mail: chcheng@mx.nthu.edu.tw

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201001160.

ous biologically and pharmaceutically active compounds.<sup>[12a-h]</sup> The development of new, mild, and convenient methods using a low-cost catalyst for the synthesis of chiral secondary alcohols remains highly attractive.<sup>[12]</sup>Recently, we have reported a cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with organoboronic acids<sup>[13]</sup> and other cobalt-catalyzed reactions.<sup>[14]</sup> Our continuing interest in developing new reactions using less expensive cobalt complexes as catalysts prompted us to investigate the addition of organoboronic acids with aldehydes and the enantioselective version of this reaction. Herein, we wish to show that cobalt complexes very efficiently catalyze this addition reaction to give diarylmethanols in excellent yields and *ee* values.

Treatment of phenylboronic acid (1a) with 4-cyanobenzaldehyde (2a) in the presence of  $Co(acac)_2$  (5 mol%), 1,2bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane (dppe; 5 mol%) in THF/ CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1/1) at 80 °C for 12 h gave addition product **3aa** in 96% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). In the present reaction, no extra base was required and only 1.2 mmol of boronic acid was used.<sup>[13]</sup> The use of binary solvent system THF/CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1:1) appears to improve the yield of product **3aa**. If the catalytic reaction was carried out in THF, product **3aa** was observed only in 75% yield along with benzene, the protodeboronation product of **1a**, in 18% yield. The catalytic reaction also worked equally well using CoI<sub>2</sub> or CoCl<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%), dppe (5 mol%) as the catalyst, and THF as solvent to afford **3aa** in 96–97% yield, but base (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.50 equiv)) was needed to activate the boronic acid.

Under similar reaction conditions, a variety of substituted aromatic aldehydes, heterocyclic aldehydes, and aliphatic aldehydes were examined with phenylboronic acid (1a) (Table 1). Thus, benzaldehydes with electron-withdrawing groups, such as 4-NO<sub>2</sub> (2b), 4-CHO (2c), 4-CO<sub>2</sub>Me (2d), and 4-CF<sub>3</sub> (2e) provided diarylmethanols **3ab–3ae** in excellent yields (89–97%; Table 1, entries 2–5). For these substrates, only the CHO group participated in the reaction, the other functional groups remained essentially intact. The reaction of dialdehyde **2c** with **1a** also proceeds selectively at one of the CHO groups. Halo-substituted benzaldehyde derivatives are compatible with the present catalytic reac-

Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 8989-8992

© 2010 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



- 8989

Table 1. Results of the addition reaction of arylboronic acid with various aldehydes.  $^{\left[ a\right] }$ 

-	B(OH)	2			OH	
		O II	Co(acac) <sub>2</sub> , dppe		∕_ <sub>R</sub>	
		+ R H	THE + CH <sub>2</sub> CN (1:1)	·		
	$R^1 \sim 1_7$	22.5	80 °C 12 h	R <sup>1</sup>		
	Ta	20-3	,	3		
Entry	Substrate	s		Product	Yield <sup>[b]</sup> [%]	
1	1a: R <sup>1</sup> =	H; <b>2a</b> : R=4	-CN-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3 aa	96	
2	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; <b>2b</b> : R = 4	$-NO_2-C_6H_4$	3 ab	97	
3	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; 2c: R=4-	-CHO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3 ac	93	
4	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; <b>2d</b> : $R = 4$	-CO <sub>2</sub> Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3 ad	98	
5	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; 2e: $R = 4$	-CF <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3ae	89	
6	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; <b>2</b> f: $R = 4$	F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3 af	92	
7	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; $2g: R = 3$	-F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3ag	82	
8	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; 2h: R = 2	-F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3ah	80	
9	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; <b>2i</b> : $R = 4$ -	Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3 ai	96	
10	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; $2j: R = 4-$	Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3aj	84	
11	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; 2k: R = P	h	3ak	73	
12	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; 21: R=1-	napthyl	3 al	75	
13	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; $2m: R = 2$	2-napthyl	3am	75	
14	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; <b>2n</b> : $R = 4$	-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3 an	67	
15	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; <b>20</b> : $R = 4$	-OMe-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3ao	57	
16	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; <b>2p</b> : $R = 4$	-pyridinyl	3 ap	76	
17	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; $2q: R = 2$	-furyl	3aq	69	
18	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; $2r: R = 2$ -	thienyl	3ar	78	
19	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = 1$	H; 2s: R = cy	clohexyl	3 as	79	
20	1b: R <sup>1</sup> =-	4-Br; <b>2d</b> : R=	$=4-CO_2Me-C_6H_4$	3bd	93	
21	1c: $R^1 = 4$	4-F; $2d: R =$	$4-CO_2Me-C_6H_4$	3cd	93	
22	<b>1d</b> : $R^1 = -$	4-CHO; 2d:	$R = 4 - CO_2 Me - C_6 H_4$	3 dd	84	
23	1e: $R^1 = -$	4-Me; 2d: R	$=4-CO_2Me-C_6H_4$	3ed	92	
24	<b>1 f</b> : $R^1 = 4$	4-OMe; 2d: 1	$R = 4 - CO_2 Me - C_6 H_4$	3 fd	97	
25	<b>1g:</b> $R^1 = 2$	2-OMe; 2d:	$R = 4 - CO_2 Me - C_6 H_4$	3gd	96	
26	<b>1</b> $\hat{h}$ : $R^1 = -$	4-vinyl; 2d: ]	$R = 4 - CO_2 Me - C_6 H_4$	3hd	75	
27	1i: (E)-st	yryl; <b>2d</b> : R =	$=4-CO_2Me-C_6H_4$	3 id	78	

[a] Unless otherwise mentioned, all of the reactions were carried out by using arylboronic acid 1 (1.20 mmol), aldehydes 2 (1.00 mmol),  $Co(acac)_2$  (5 mol%), dppe (5 mol%), and THF/CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1:1) at 80 °C for 12 h. [b] Isolated yields.

tion.<sup>[15]</sup> For example, 4-F (2f), 3-F (2g), 2-F (2h), 4-Cl (2i), and 4-Br (2j) also reacted efficiently with 1a to give the corresponding diarylmethanols 3af-3aj in good to excellent vields (Table 1, entries 6–10). Similarly, benzaldehyde (2k), 1-napthaldehyde (21) and 2-napthaldehyde (2m) underwent addition reaction with 1a to afford products 3ak-3am in good yields (Table 1, entries 11-13). Benzaldehydes containing electron-donating groups, such as 4-Me (2n) and 4-OMe (20) also gave addition products 3an and 3ao albeit in moderate yields (Table 1, entries 14 and 15). Heterocyclic aldehydes, including 4-formylpyridine (2p), 2-formylfuran (2q), and 2-formylthiophene (2r) also reacted efficiently to give addition products 3ap-3ar in 76, 69, and 78% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 16-18). Aliphatic aldehyde, cyclohexanecarbaldehyde (2s), also effectively participated in the addition reaction affording product 3as in 79% yield (Table 1, entry 19).

To further explore the scope of the addition reaction, various substituted organoboronic acids were examined with methyl 4-formyl benzoate (2d). 4-Bromo- (1b), 4-fluoro-(1c), 4-formyl- (1d), 4-methyl- (1e), 4-methoxy- (1f), 2-methoxy- (1g) and 4-vinylphenylboronic (1h) acids all reacted

effectively with 2d to furnish substituted diarylmethanols 3bd-3hd in 93, 93, 84, 92, 97, 96, and 75% yield, respectively (Table 1, entries 20–26). These results clearly indicate that the present addition reaction shows excellent tolerance towards Br, F, CHO, Me, and OMe functional groups. The catalytic reaction also worked very well with alkenylboronic acid. Thus, (*E*)-1-phenylvinyl boronic acid (1i) reacted with 2d to afford allylic alcohol 3id in 78% yield (Table 1, entry 27).

The great importance of chiral secondary alcohols in organic synthesis prompted us to explore the enantioselective addition of organoboronic acids with aldehydes. Phenylboronic acid (1a) and 2d were used as the model substrates in this study. Cobalt catalyst CoI<sub>2</sub> (5 mol %), a bidentate chiral ligand (5 mol %), and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.5 equiv) in THF were used in the reaction. Various bidentate chiral ligands, including (R)-Prophos, (R)-Tol-BINAP, (S)-BINAP, (S,S)-Chiraphos, (S)-BINOL, (R,R)-Ph-BPE, (R)-Quinap, (R)-MOP, (S,S)-DIPAMP, (R)-Monophos, (R,R)-BDPP, and (S,S)-DIOP, were examined (for the structure of chiral ligands and detailed optimization studies, see the Supporting Information). Among them, (R,R)-BDPP is most effective, affording (S)diarylmethanol 3ad in 98% yield with an ee value of 94% (Table 2, entry 3).<sup>[11]</sup> Other ligands provided **3ad** in 44–86% yields with an ee value of 10-67%. Under the reaction conditions, (S,S)-BDPP provided the other enantiomer (R)-diarylmethanol **3ad** in 95% yield with an *ee* of 93% (Table 2, entry 4). Another cobalt catalyst,  $Co(acac)_2/(R,R)$ -BDPP, in THF without base is also effective, giving chiral (S)-3ad in 92% yield and 89% ee.

In the presence of  $\operatorname{CoI}_2(5 \mod \%)/(R,R)$ -BDPP (5 mol %) and  $K_2CO_3$  (1.5 equiv) in THF, the reaction of various substituted aldehydes with phenylboronic acid (1a) were then examined (Table 2). Electron-withdrawing groups, 4-CN-(2a), 4-NO<sub>2</sub>- (2b), 4-CO<sub>2</sub>Me- (2d), and 4-CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted (2e) benzaldehydes afforded chiral (S)-diarylmethanols 3aa, 3ab, 3ad, and 3ae in excellent 97, 95, 98, and 97% yield with 92, 93, 94 and 92% ee, respectively (Table 2, entries 1-3, 5). As expected, if  $CoI_2/(S,S)$ -BDPP was employed as the catalyst, the reaction of 1a with 2d afforded the corresponding (R)-3 ad in 93 % ee (Table 2, entry 4). Similarly, by using  $CoI_2/(R,R)$ -BDPP as the catalyst, 4-F- (2f), 4-Cl- (2i), and 4-Br-substituted (2j) benzaldehydes provided (S)-diarylmethanols 3af, 3ai, and 3aj in excellent 95-97% yield with 99, 93, and 96% ee, respectively (Table 2, entries 6-8). Likewise, 1-naphth- (21) and 2-naphthaldehyde (2m), 4-methyl (2n), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (2o), and 2-formylthiophene (2r)gave (S)-diarylmethanols 3al, 3am, 3an, 3ao, and 3ar, respectively, in 77-90% yield with 86-93% ee (Table 2, entries 9-13). A 2-naphthyl and 2-thienyl group on the aldehyde substrate appears to lower the ee value slightly compared with other aryl groups used. In a similar manner, cyclohexanecarbaldehyde (2s) yielded (R)-3as in 84% yield with 97% ee (Table 2, entry 14). It is interesting to note that in the present chiral addition reaction with base, even electron-rich-substituted, heteroaromatic and aliphatic aldehydes provided the corresponding addition products in ex-

8990 -

COMMUNICATION

Table 2. Results of the enantioselective addition reaction of various phenylboronic acids with substituted aldehydes.<sup>[a]</sup>



Entry	Substrates		Yield ( <i>ee</i> ) [%] <sup>[b]</sup>
1	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2a</b> : $R = 4$ -CN-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(S)- <b>3 aa</b>	97 (92)
2	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2b</b> : $R = 4$ -NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(S)- <b>3 ab</b>	95 (93)
3	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2d</b> : $R = 4$ -CO <sub>2</sub> Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(S)- <b>3 ad</b>	98 (94)
4	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2d</b> : $R = 4$ -CO <sub>2</sub> Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(R)- <b>3 ad</b>	95 <sup>[c]</sup> (93)
5	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2e</b> : $R = 4$ - $CF_3$ - $C_6H_4$	(S)- <b>3 ae</b>	97 (92)
6	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2f</b> : $R = 4$ -F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(S)- <b>3 af</b>	95 (99)
7	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2i</b> : $R = 4$ -Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(S)- <b>3</b> ai	97 (93)
8	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2j</b> : $R = 4$ -Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(S)- <b>3</b> aj	97 (96)
9	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2l</b> : $R = 1$ -napthyl	(S)- <b>3 al</b>	77 (89)
10	$1a: R^1 = H; 2m: R = 2$ -napthyl	(S)- <b>3 am</b>	89 (92)
11	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2n</b> : $R = 4$ -Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(S)- <b>3 an</b>	90 (93)
12	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2o</b> : $R = 4$ -OMe-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(S)- <b>3 ao</b>	85 (92)
13	<b>1a</b> : $R^1 = H$ ; <b>2r</b> : $R = 2$ -thienyl	(S)- <b>3</b> ar	82 (86)
14	$1a: R^1 = H; 2s: R = cyclohexyl$	(R)- <b>3 as</b>	84 (97)
15	<b>1b</b> : $R^1 = 4$ -Br; <b>2d</b> : $R = 4$ -CO <sub>2</sub> Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(+)-3bd	99 (90)
16	<b>1b</b> : $R^1 = 4$ -Br; <b>2k</b> : $R = Ph$	(R)- <b>3 bk</b>	84 (94)
		(R)- <b>3 aj</b>	
17	$1e: R^1 = 4-Me; 2k: R = Ph$	(R)-3 ek	91 (95)
		(R)- <b>3 an</b>	
18	<b>1 f</b> : $R^1 = 4$ -OMe; <b>2k</b> : $R = Ph$	(R)- <b>3 fk</b>	93 (94)
		(R)- <b>3 ao</b>	
19	$1e: R^1 = 4-Me; 2d: R = 4-CO_2Me-C_6H_4$	(+)-3ed	95 (91)
20	<b>1 f</b> : $R^1 = 4$ -OMe; <b>2d</b> : $R = 4$ -CO <sub>2</sub> Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(+)-3 fd	97 (94)
21	<b>1g</b> : $R^1$ =2-OMe; <b>2d</b> : $R$ =4-CO <sub>2</sub> Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	(+)-3gd	92 (90)

[a] Unless otherwise mentioned, all of the reactions were carried out by using organoboronic acid **1** (1.50 mmol), aldehydes **2** (1.00 mmol),  $CoI_{2^-}$  (5 mol %)/(*R*,*R*)-BDPP (5 mol %), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.5 equiv), and THF (2.0 mL) at 80 °C for 12 h. [b] Isolated yields. [c] (*S*,*S*)-BDPP was used.

cellent yields in contrast to the results using Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>/dppe because the catalyst gave only moderate to good yields.

The present asymmetric catalytic reaction can be extended successfully to other arylboronic acids. Under similar reaction conditions, using  $CoI_2/(R,R)$ -BDPP as the catalyst, the reaction of 4-bromophenylboronic acid (1b) and benzaldehyde (2k) gave (R)-3bk in 84% yield with 94% ee (Table 2, entry 16). It is noteworthy that (R)-3bk is the enantiomer of (S)-3aj (Table 2, entry 8) prepared from phenylboronic acid (1a) and 4-bromobenzaldehyde (2j) using the same chiral  $CoI_2/(R,R)$ -BDPP catalyst. In a similar manner, product (R)-3ek (Table 2, entry 17) is enantiomer of (S)-3 an (Table 2, entry 11) and (R)-3 fk (Table 2, entry 18) is the enantiomer of (S)-3ao (Table 2, entry 12). Thus, the present catalytic asymmetric addition reaction provides a versatile method to prepare the two enantiomers by using the same chiral catalyst. Moreover, in the present asymmetric reaction, products (S)-3ai and (R)-3ek are known to be the key intermediates for biologically active compounds (S)-cetirizine and (R)-neobenodine, respective-ly.<sup>[12e,f]</sup>

In addition, other substituted phenylboronic acids, including 4-bromo- (1b), 4-methyl- (1e), 4-methoxy- (1f), and 2methoxyphenylboronic 1g acids, also reacted smoothly with 4-CO<sub>2</sub>Me-substituted benzaldehyde 2d to give diarylmethanols 3bd, 3ed, 3fd, and 3gd in excellent yields (92–99%) and *ee* values (90–94%), respectively (Table 2, entries 15, 19–21).

It is interesting to note that for the present  $\operatorname{Col}_2/(R,R)$ -BDPP-catalyzed asymmetric addition of phenylboronic acid to various substituted benzaldehydes, only the *S* products were obtained (Table 2, entries 1–13). These results may be explained by the proposed reaction model, **A**, in Scheme 1,



Scheme 1. Proposed addition of an aryl boronic acid to aldehyde catalyzed by  $\text{CoI}_2/(R,R)$ -BDPP. Note that enantiomers were obtained from **A** and **B**.

although the exact structure of the cobalt intermediate is not known. The chiral (R,R)-BDPP ligand appears to control efficiently the relative three-dimensional position of the coordinated phenyl and substituted benzaldehyde. As a result, the coordinated aryl group adds to the aldehyde group from its *si* face leading to the formation of *S* product. If the phenyl group on the boronic acid and aryl group of the aldehyde exchange positions (model **B**), *R* products will be obtained (Table 2, entries 16–18). It is interesting to note that this method provides an alternative to prepare an *R* and *S* enantiomeric pair by using the same chiral ligand. Based on these reaction models, we expect that (+)-**3bd**, (+)-**3fd**, and (+)-**3gd** should have *R* configuration, whereas (+)**3ed** should be an *S* product.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated, for the first time, a cobalt-catalyzed racemic and enantioselective addition of organoboronic acids with aldehydes to give biologically useful, substituted secondary alcohols in excellent yields and enantiomeric excess. In the chiral reaction, highly stable, less expensive CoI<sub>2</sub> catalyst, and commercially available chiral ligand (R,R)-BDPP were used. A wide scope of organoboronic acids and aldehydes are compatible with this catalytic reaction. Further detailed investigation on the mechanism and the application of this methodology in natural product synthesis are in progress.

www.chemeurj.org

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

## **Experimental Section**

General procedure for the asymmetric arylation of aldehyde: A 10 mL sealed tube containing  $CoI_2$  (0.050 mmol, 5.0 mol%), (*R*,*R*)-BDPP (0.050 mmol, 5.0 mol%), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.5 equiv), **2d** (1.00 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (**1a**) (1.50 mmol) was evacuated and purged with nitrogen gas three times. Then freshly distilled THF (2.0 mL) was added to the system and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 12 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short Celite and silica gel pad and washed with 3:1 mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate several times. The combined filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified on a silica gel column using hexane/ethyl acetate as eluent to afford the addition product **3ad**.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the National Science Council of Republic of China (NSC 96-2113M-007-020-MY3) for support of this research and we thank Prof. Biing-Jiun Uang for support with chiral HPLC.

**Keywords:** alcohols • aldehydes • asymmetric synthesis • cobalt • organoboronic acid

- For selected reviews, see: a) C. Bolm, J. P. Hildebrand, K. Muniz, N. Hermanns, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 3382; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3284; b) L. Pu, H. B. Yu, Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 757; c) K. Fagnou, M. Lautens, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 169; d) T. Hayashi, K. Yamasaki, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2829; e) F. Glorius, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 3444; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3364; f) P. Knochel, A. Gavryushin, A. Krasovskiy, H. Leuser in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III, Vol. 9 (Eds.: R. H. Crabtree, D. M. P. Mingos, P. Knochel), Elsevier, Oxford, 2007, pp. 81–144.
- [2] For selected reviews, see: a) A. Boudier, L. O. Bromm, M. Lotz, P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4584; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4414; b) P. Knochel, A. Gavryushin, A. Krasovskiy, H. Leuser in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III, Vol. 9 (Eds.: R. H. Crabtree, D. M. P. Mingos, P. Knochel), Elsevier, Oxford, 2007, pp. 31–79; c) Y. Muramatsu, T. Harada, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 10560.
- [3] a) K. Soai, S. Niwa, Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 833; b) K. Soai, Y. Kawase,
  A. Oshio, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 1613; c) D. H. Wang,
  T. S. Mei, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17676.
- [4] a) T. Hanamoto, K. Yamada, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7559; b) S. Oi,
  M. Moro, Y. Inoue, Organometallics 2001, 20, 1036; c) T. Fujii, T. Koike, A. Mori, K. Osakada, Synlett 2002, 0298.
- [5] S. Oi, M. Moro, Y. Inoue, *Chem. Commun.* 1997, 1621, and references therein.
- [6] For selected reviews, see: a) K. Yoshida, T. Hayashi in Boronic Acids: Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis and Medicine (Ed.: D. G. Hall), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005; b) A. Suzuki, Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 178; c) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457; d) N. Kudo, M. Perseghini, G. C. Fu, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 1304; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1282; e) S. Kotha, K. Lahiri, D. Kashinath, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 9633; for potassium organotrifluoroborates, see: f) S. Darses, J.-P. Genet, Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 288; g) G. A. Molander, N. Ellis, Acc. Chem. Res. 2007,

40, 275; h) L. Navarre, S. Darses, J.-P. Genet, *Angew. Chem.* 2004, 116, 737; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, 43, 719; i) S. Darses, J.-P. Genet, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2003, 4313; j) M. Pucheault, S. Darses, J.-P Genet, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 4714.

- [7] For selected references for Rh, see: a) M. Sakai, M. Ueda, N. Miyaura, N. Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 3475; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3279; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3279; b) T. Focken, J. Rudolph, C. Balm, Synthesis 2005, 429; c) M. Ueda, N. Miyaura, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 4450; d) R. Huang, K. H. Shaughnessy, Chem. Commun. 2005, 4484; e) R. A. Batey, A. N. Thadani, D. V. Smil, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1683; f) T. Hayashi, M. Takahashi, Y. Takaya, M. Ogasawara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5052; g) P. M. P. Gois, A. F. Trindade, L. F. Veiros, V. Andr, M. T. Duarte, C. A. M. Afonso, S. Caddick, F. G. N. Cloke, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 5852; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5750; h) H. Krause, A. Furstner, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001, 343.
- [8] For selected references for Pd, see: a) S. Gibson, D. F. Foster, G. R. Eastham, R. P. Tooze, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 779; b) T. Yamamoto, T. Ohta, Y. Ito, *Org. Lett.* 2005, 7, 4153; c) S. Lin, X. Lu, *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 72, 9757; d) M. Kuriyama, R. Shimazawa, R. Shirai, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 1597.
- [9] For Pt, see: a) Y.-X. Liao, C.-H. Xing, P. He, Q.-S. Hu, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2509; for Ni addition of boronates and boroxanes to aldehydes, see: b) G. Takahashi, E. Shirakawa, T. Tsuchimoto, Y. Kawakami, Chem. Commun. 2005, 1459; c) T. Arao, K. Kondo, T. Aoyama, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 4115.
- [10] For Cu, see: a) H. Zheng, Q. Zhang, J. Chen, M. Liu, S. Cheng, J. Ding, H. Wu, W. Su, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 943; for Fe, see: b) T. Zou, S.-S. Pi, J.-H. Li, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 453.
- [11] a) H.-F. Duan, J.-H. Xie, W.-J. Shi, Q. Zhang, Q.-L. Zhou, Org. Lett.
  2006, 8, 1479; b) Y. Yamamoto, K. Kurihara, N. Miyaura, Angew.
  Chem. 2009, 121, 4478; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4414.
- [12] For selected references, see: a) A. F. Harms, W. T. Nauta, J. Med. Pharm. Chem. 1960, 2, 57; b) N. Sperber, D. Papa, E. Schwenk, M. Sherlock, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 887; c) K. Meguro, M. Aizawa, T. Sohda, A. Kawamatsu, A. Nagaoka, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 3787; d) F. Toda, K. Tanaka, K. Koshiro, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1991, 2, 873; e) P.-Y. Wu, H.-L. Wu, B.-J. Uang, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 833; f) J. Shannon, D. Bernier, D. Rawson, S. Woodward, Chem. Commun. 2007, 3945; g) G. Lu, F. Y. Kwong, J. W. Ruan, Y. M. Li, A. S. C. Chan, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4115; h) F. Schmidt, R. T. Stemmler, J. Rudolph, C. Bolm, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2006, 35, 454; i) Y. Bolshan, C.-Y. Chen, J. R. Chilenski, F. Gosselin, D. J. Mathre, P. D. OShea, A. Roy, R. D. Tillyer, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 111; j) C. M. Spencer, D. Foulds, D. H. Peters, Drugs 1993, 46, 1055.
- [13] P.-S. Lin, M. Jeganmohan, C.-H. Cheng, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 11296.
- [14] a) P.-S. Lin, M. Jeganmohan, C.-H. Cheng, *Chem. Asian J.* 2007, 2, 1409; b) H.-T. Chang, T. T. Jayanth, C.-C. Wang, C.-H. Cheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 12032; c) H.-T. Chang, T. T. Jayanth, C.-H. Cheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 4166; d) C.-C. Wang, P.-S. Lin, C.-H. Cheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 9696; e) C.-C. Wang, P.-S. Lin, C.-H. Cheng, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 6203; f) Y.-C. Wong, K. Parthasarathy, C.-H. Cheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 18252; g) S. Mannathan, C.-H. Cheng, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 1923.
- [15] a) K.-J. Chang, D. K. Rayabarapu, C.-H. Cheng, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 3963; b) K.-J. Chang, D. K. Rayabarapu, C.-H. Cheng, *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 4781.

Received: April 30, 2010 Published online: July 2, 2010